Interview	Summary
-----------	---------

Application No. 09/893,861 Applicant(s)

Pettit et al Art Unit

Examiner

R.S. Travers J.D., Ph.D.

1617

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) R.S. Travers J.D., Ph.D.	(3)	
(2) Susan Rosenfield	(4)	
Date of Interview Sep 16, 2003		
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy is given to 1] applicant 2]	applicant's representative]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)	X No. If yes, brief description:	
Claim(s) discussed: all claims		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' Attorney agreeded to elect the method of use claims for examination on the merits; with traverse		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
i) 🛮 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate	e record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).	
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		
an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if required	